

**City of Alachua**  
**Qualifications Summary Score Sheet**  
**RFQ2018-02**  
**Engineering Design and Construction Administration Services**  
**for San Felasco Parkway**

*Qualifier 1: DRMP*

*Qualifier 2: CHW*

*Qualifier 3: George F. Young, Inc.*

	Qualifier 1	Qualifier 2	Qualifier 3
Selection Committee Member 1	93	94	73
Selection Committee Member 2	75	80	40
Selection Committee Member 3	82	99	93
TOTAL SUMMARY	250	273	206

\*\*Highest Summary Score is Ranked First.

1st Ranked – CHW

2nd Ranked - DRMP

3rd Ranked – George F. Young, Inc.

Ranking Date: August 30, 2018

**City of Alachua  
Qualifications Evaluation Criteria  
RFQ 2018-02**

**Engineering Design and Construction Administration Services  
For San Felasco Parkway**

Ranking Criteria

#1.

Design Approach to fast track the detailed design and detailed cost estimate. Reviews with the City of Alachua of detailed design at 30%, 60%, 90% and 100%. Include proposed schedule to finalize design, cost estimates and construction schedule as prescribed in the RFQ.

- *Points Awarded for thoroughness of design approach, design schedule and realistic construction schedule. Maximum 25 points.*

FIRM #1	FIRM #2	FIRM #3
23	22	15

#2.

Technical Approach to effectively administer the construction phase of the project, including Construction Engineering and Inspection.

- *Points Awarded for thoroughness of technical approach, ability to effectively administer all aspects of CEI. Maximum 15 points.*

FIRM #1	FIRM #2	FIRM #3
14	15	12

#3.

Proposed Organizational Chart for Design Services and Construction Engineering Inspection Services, showing full name, title for the team and name of the firm providing the personnel. Include the resume of each team member proposed. It is a requirement that the engineers assigned to this project will come from the resumes submitted in the proposal. Changes to this pool of engineers must be approved in writing by the City.

- *Points Awarded for completeness of organizational chart; proven experience of relevant personnel such as having designed similar facilities per the RFQ Scope of Services; proven experience of relevant personnel such as having performed similar Construction Engineering Inspection services; participation of Small Business Enterprises; and commitment that this is the personnel that will be working on the design. Maximum 25 points.*

FIRM #1	FIRM #2	FIRM #3
24	25	21

#4.

Establish relevant experience by providing similar project references (max. 2 pages per project reference). Include Client contact information for verification purposes. Project references must include experience in Planning, Design, and Construction Support Services for similar facilities.

- *Points Awarded for relevance of project experience in the Scope of Services; and for input provided by Reference Project Clients. Maximum 25 points.*

FIRM #1	FIRM #2	FIRM #3
24	23	18

#5.

Any other information deemed relevant by the processing team.

- Comparison of information of all proposers, collectively and individually, to that submitted by each proposer and all other factors determined by City to be applicable to its particular requirements.  
Maximum 10 points.

FIRM #1	FIRM #2	FIRM #3
8	9	7

**TOTAL SCORE:**

FIRM #1	FIRM #2	FIRM #3
93	94	73

**City of Alachua  
Qualifications Evaluation Criteria  
RFQ 2018-02**

**Engineering Design and Construction Administration Services  
For San Felasco Parkway**

Ranking Criteria

#1.

Design Approach to fast track the detailed design and detailed cost estimate. Reviews with the City of Alachua of detailed design at 30%, 60%, 90% and 100%. Include proposed schedule to finalize design, cost estimates and construction schedule as prescribed in the RFQ.

- *Points Awarded for thoroughness of design approach, design schedule and realistic construction schedule. Maximum 25 points.*

FIRM #1	FIRM #2	FIRM #3
15	25	10

#2.

Technical Approach to effectively administer the construction phase of the project, including Construction Engineering and Inspection.

- *Points Awarded for thoroughness of technical approach, ability to effectively administer all aspects of CEI. Maximum 15 points.*

FIRM #1	FIRM #2	FIRM #3
10	15	5

#3.

Proposed Organizational Chart for Design Services and Construction Engineering Inspection Services, showing full name, title for the team and name of the firm providing the personnel. Include the resume of each team member proposed. It is a requirement that the engineers assigned to this project will come from the resumes submitted in the proposal. Changes to this pool of engineers must be approved in writing by the City.

- *Points Awarded for completeness of organizational chart; proven experience of relevant personnel such as having designed similar facilities per the RFQ Scope of Services; proven experience of relevant personnel such as having performed similar Construction Engineering Inspection services; participation of Small Business Enterprises; and commitment that this is the personnel that will be working on the design. Maximum 25 points.*

FIRM #1	FIRM #2	FIRM #3
20	15	10

#4.

Establish relevant experience by providing similar project references (max. 2 pages per project reference). Include Client contact information for verification purposes. Project references must include experience in Planning, Design, and Construction Support Services for similar facilities.

- *Points Awarded for relevance of project experience in the Scope of Services; and for input provided by Reference Project Clients. Maximum 25 points.*

FIRM #1	FIRM #2	FIRM #3
20	15	10

#5.

Any other information deemed relevant by the processing team.

- Comparison of information of all proposers, collectively and individually, to that submitted by each proposer and all other factors determined by City to be applicable to its particular requirements.

Maximum 10 points.

FIRM #1	FIRM #2	FIRM #3
10	10	5

**TOTAL SCORE:**

FIRM #1	FIRM #2	FIRM #3
75	80	40

**City of Alachua  
Qualifications Evaluation Criteria  
RFQ 2018-02**

**Engineering Design and Construction Administration Services  
For San Felasco Parkway**

Ranking Criteria

#1.

Design Approach to fast track the detailed design and detailed cost estimate. Reviews with the City of Alachua of detailed design at 30%, 60%, 90% and 100%. Include proposed schedule to finalize design, cost estimates and construction schedule as prescribed in the RFQ.

- *Points Awarded for thoroughness of design approach, design schedule and realistic construction schedule. Maximum 25 points.*

FIRM #1	FIRM #2	FIRM #3
20	24	22

#2.

Technical Approach to effectively administer the construction phase of the project, including Construction Engineering and Inspection.

- *Points Awarded for thoroughness of technical approach, ability to effectively administer all aspects of CEI. Maximum 15 points.*

FIRM #1	FIRM #2	FIRM #3
13	15	13



#3.

Proposed Organizational Chart for Design Services and Construction Engineering Inspection Services, showing full name, title for the team and name of the firm providing the personnel. Include the resume of each team member proposed. It is a requirement that the engineers assigned to this project will come from the resumes submitted in the proposal. Changes to this pool of engineers must be approved in writing by the City.

- *Points Awarded for completeness of organizational chart; proven experience of relevant personnel such as having designed similar facilities per the RFQ Scope of Services; proven experience of relevant personnel such as having performed similar Construction Engineering Inspection services; participation of Small Business Enterprises; and commitment that this is the personnel that will be working on the design. Maximum 25 points.*

FIRM #1	FIRM #2	FIRM #3
20	25	23

#4.

Establish relevant experience by providing similar project references (max. 2 pages per project reference). Include Client contact information for verification purposes. Project references must include experience in Planning, Design, and Construction Support Services for similar facilities.

- *Points Awarded for relevance of project experience in the Scope of Services; and for input provided by Reference Project Clients. Maximum 25 points.*

FIRM #1	FIRM #2	FIRM #3
20	25	25

#5.

Any other information deemed relevant by the processing team.

- Comparison of information of all proposers, collectively and individually, to that submitted by each proposer and all other factors determined by City to be applicable to its particular requirements.

Maximum 10 points.

FIRM #1	FIRM #2	FIRM #3
9	10	10

**TOTAL SCORE:**

FIRM #1	FIRM #2	FIRM #3
82	99	93